



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,916	08/26/2003	Joachim Tiemann	01423P0006US	2443
32116	7590	07/07/2006	EXAMINER	
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER 500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL 60661			KATCHEVES, BASIL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/647,916	TIEMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner Basil Katcheves	Art Unit 3635	

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -
Period for Reply

**A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 May 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-28 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-21,27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,22-26 and 28 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant has withdrawn claims 6-21 and 27 and canceled claim 3. New claim 28 has been added. Pending claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 22-26 and 28 are examined below.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 is directed to a subcombination dowel but recites limitations of an insulating plate and interaction between the dowel and the insulating plate, appearing to be a combination. The claims are treated as being drawn to a subcombination dowel. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 22-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,308,483 to Romine as in the previous office action.

Regarding claim 1, Romaine discloses a dowel assembly having a pressing plate (fig. 5: 102), a dowel sleeve (162), attached to the pressing plate for an expansion

element (41) with head (42), the dowel sleeve having an expansion zone (fig. 5: space occupied by 160), and cutting devices (146) at the lower side and circumference of the pressing plate. The cutting devices being capable of cutting a radial pattern into an insulation plate, since the teeth are radially spaced.

Regarding claim 2, Romine discloses the pressing plate (102) as having a pressing plate shaft (shaft where aperture 148 is located) which can be shifted against the dowel sleeve (162).

Regarding claims 4, 24 and 25, Romine discloses an engagement device (160).

Regarding claims 5 and 26, Romine discloses the dowel sleeve as having an anti twist device (fig. 5: see side profiles of 162).

Regarding claims 22 and 23, Romine discloses the pressing plate as having a recess (fig. 5: where 48 fits) for the engagement of a drive (45).

Claim 28 is rejected for reasons cited in the rejection of claim 1. In addition, Romine discloses the outer rim (area encompassed at fig. 4: 144) as having cutting teeth.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/4/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the use of the cutting edges in the dowel assembly. Amended claim 1 contains these function limitations and is addressed in the rejection above. Applicant argues the function of Romine is different than that of the instant application but does not claim any structure necessary to perform such function.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

BK



Basil Katcheves

6/28/06

Examiner AU 3635